



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TAL
Docket No: 6269-10
16 March 2011

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 March 2011. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Marine Corp and began a period of active duty on 28 May 1971 at age 22. On 13 February 1973, you were convicted by summary court-martial of unauthorized absence (UA) from your unit for a period of 56 days. On 21 October 1973, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for failure to go to your appointed place of punishment. On 23 September 1974, you again received NJP for failure to go to your appointed place of duty. On 17 January 1975, you were convicted by special court-martial (SPCM) of four instances of UA from your unit for a period totaling 30 days. The sentence imposed was forfeiture of pay, reduction in paygrade, and one month confinement. On 7 March 1975, you were again UA from your unit until you surrendered on 28 May 1975, a period of 82 days. On 30 May 1975, you submitted a written request for an other than honorable (OTH) discharge in order to avoid trial by court-martial for the foregoing charge of UA. Prior to submitting this request you conferred with a qualified military lawyer at which time you were advised of your rights and warned of the probable adverse consequences of accepting such a discharge. Your request was granted and the

separation authority directed your OTH discharge. As a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor. On 12 June 1975, you were discharged under OTH conditions.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given the seriousness of your misconduct that resulted in a period of UA totaling over five months, two NJPs, a SCM, a SPCM, and request for discharge. The Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge to avoid trial by court-martial was approved. Further, the Board concluded that you received the benefit of your bargain with the Marine Corps when your request for discharge was granted and should not be permitted to change it now. Finally, in regard to your contention that the Marine Corps retained you beyond the expiration of your contract; out of your four year contract your total active service was, three years, six months and 12 days. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director