

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG

Docket No: 10343-10

28 June 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 28 June 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You reenlisted in the Navy on 7 March 1974 after more than three years of honorable service. You received nonjudicial punishment on two occasions for absence from your appointed place of duty, and an unauthorized absence (UA) totaling 31 days. There is another four day period of UA in your record for which no disciplinary action was taken. Your record is incomplete, but you then requested a discharge under other than honorable (OTH) conditions for the good of the service to avoid trial by court-martial for three periods of unauthorized absence totaling 215 days. You consulted with qualified

military counsel and acknowledged the adverse consequences of receiving such a discharge. The separation authority approved your request for a discharge under OTH conditions. On 8 April 1980, you were separated with a discharge under OTH conditions for the good of the service to avoid trial by court-martial. As a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor.

The Board, in its review of your entire record, carefully considered all potential mitigation, such as your prior honorable service, remorse, and character reference letters. Nevertheless, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge due to your periods of UA totaling over eight months. the Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge to avoid trial by courtmartial was approved. It was also clear to the Board that you received the benefit of your bargain with the Navy when your request for discharge was granted and should not be permitted to change it now. You are advised that no discharge is upgraded due merely to post service good conduct or the passage In view of the above, your application has been The names and votes of the members of the panel will denied. be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PERIOR Executive Director