



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

HD:hd Docket No. 12223-10 19 January 2012



Dear



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

You requested, in effect, setting aside your reduction from AC2 (pay grade E-5) to AC3 (pay grade E-4), imposed as a result of the vacation, on 26 May 1983, of the suspended reduction awarded at your nonjudicial punishment (NJP) proceedings of 21 April 1983; and setting aside your reduction from AC3 to ACAN (pay grade E-3), awarded at your NJP of 26 May 1983.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 19 January 2012. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by the Navy Personnel Command dated 20 September 2011 with reference (a), a copy of which is attached. The Board also considered your letter dated 7 November 2011.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIRF

Executive Directly

Enclosure