

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

CRS

Docket No: 12330-11 18 October 2012





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 29 August 2012. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy Reserve on 14 April 1971. You received nonjudicial punishment on three occasions for offenses that included assault, use of provoking words, violation of a lawful order, and willful disobedience of a lawful order. On 12 April 1972 you received a general discharge by reason of unsuitability due to a character and behavior disorder.

The Board found that you did not qualify for an honorable characterization of service because your conduct mark average was below the required 3.0 minimum average, which you did not attain because of your three disciplinary actions. There is no evidence in your record that you suffered from post traumatic stress disorder which you stated caused your misconduct.

Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN

Acting Executive Director