


DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

 \mathtt{TAL}

Docket No: 12428-10

12 August 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 10 August 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 28 May 1981 at age 17. On 24 December 1981, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for disobeying a lawful written order by possessing marijuana. On 21 November 1983, you were convicted by special court-martial (SPCM) of dereliction of duty by sleeping on watch, four instances of failure to go to you appointed place of duty, two instance of unauthorized absence (UA) from your unit for a period totaling 199 days, and conduct that discredited the armed forces. The sentence imposed was confinement for 45 days, a forfeiture of pay, reduction is paygrade and a bad conduct discharge (BCD). On 15 November 1984, you received the BCD after appellate review was complete.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given the seriousness of your misconduct that resulted in one NJP, a SPCM and periods of UA totaling over six months. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

6

W. DEAN PREATERS

Executive Director