


DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

 \mathtt{TAL}

Docket No: 12456-10 19 August 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 August 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 26 June 2008 at age 22. Based on the information currently contained in your record it appears that you were subsequently involuntarily processed for entry level separation by reason of erroneous entry. In connection with this processing, you would have acknowledged the separation action and the discharge authority would have approved a recommendation for separation. The record clearly shows that on 17 July 2008, you were discharged with an entry level separation by reason of erroneous entry. At that time you were assigned an RE-4 reentry code, which means that you were neither recommended nor eligible for reenlistment.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant a change in your reentry code. Regulations authorize the assignment of an RE-4 reentry code when an individual is discharged by reason of erroneous entry. Furthermore, enlistment disqualifications

discovered after enlistment result in discharge by reason of erroneous entry if the separation authority finds that the individual would have never been authorized to enlist if the disqualifying factor had been discovered before enlistment. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PERFER Executive Director