



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TJR
Docket No: 12555-11
4 October 2012

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 October 2012. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 10 May 1984 at age 19 and immediately began a period of active duty. You served without disciplinary incident until 14 June 1985, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for unlawful entry into a female berthing area. About two months later, on 24 August 1985, you received a second NJP for dereliction of duty.


On 10 and 31 January 1986 you received NJP for destroying personal property, specifically, a stained glass window valued at \$60, disrespect, failure to obey a lawful order, and absence from your appointed place of duty. Shortly thereafter, on 4 February 1986, you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct and frequent involvement of a discreditable nature with military authorities. At that time you waived your right to consult with legal counsel and to present your case to an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 9 February 1986 your commanding officer recommended discharge under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct

and frequent involvement of a discreditable nature with military authorities. On 13 February 1986 the discharge authority approved this recommendation and directed separation under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct and on 28 February 1986 you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and desire to upgrade your discharge. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the seriousness of your repetitive misconduct which resulted in four NJPs. Finally, you were given an opportunity to defend your actions, but waived your procedural right to present your case to an ADB. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director