



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 2565-10
16 November 2010

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 16 November 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. You entered active duty in the Navy on 29 November 1984, and served honorably until 28 November 1992. On 14 December 2001, you reenlisted in the Navy Reserve for four years. On 3 March 2005, you were notified that you were being administratively separated due to unsatisfactory drill participation (Dental Class III) with a general discharge and assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reenlistment code. You were so discharged on 12 April 2005.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your prior honorable and desire to reenlist in the armed forces. However, the Board concluded that your reenlistment code should not be changed due to your unsatisfactory drill participation. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

Since your discharge is less than 15 years old, you may apply to the Naval Discharge Review Board (NDRB) for a possible upgrade. I have enclosed the NDRB's application (DD Form 293) for your convenience.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure