

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN

Docket No: 04056-10 31 January 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 6 January 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 31 July 1957, and served without disciplinary incident until 2 November 1959, when you were convicted at a special court-martial (SPCM) of false official statement. At the end of your obligated service, you were not recommended for reenlistment. Therefore, on 23 December 1959, you were separated with an honorable discharge.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your claim that your SPCM was not just. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant changing your non-recommendation for reenlistment. In addition, your claim that you should have been promoted to pay grade E-5 was denied. There were multiple factors other than taking the personnelman courses to be advanced, such as having your

commanding officer's recommendation and passing an examination. There is no evidence in your record of either requirement. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PELEF