

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN

Docket No: 04332-10 25 January 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 January 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps on 21 June 1979, and served without disciplinary incident until 26 June 1980, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for disobeying a lawful order. Shortly thereafter, you received the following disciplinary action: on 2 April 1981, you received NJP for an unauthorized absence (UA) and failure to obey a lawful order; on 6 October 1981, you received NJP for UA; on 3 November 1981, you received NJP for assault; on 6 November 1981, you received NJP for disobeying a lawful order and breaking restriction; on 17 November 1981, you received NJP for assault; on 10 December 1981, you were convicted at a summary court-martial (SCM) of UA, disrespect, disobeying a lawful order, contempt toward a noncommissioned officer, and communicating a threat; and on 5 February 1981, you received NJP for disrespect. Therefore, you were recommended for separation with an other than honorable (OTH) discharge due to your repeated acts of misconduct. You

waived all of your procedural rights, to include your right to an administrative discharge board (ADB). The separation authority approved the recommendation for an OTH discharge. Therefore, on 18 June 1982, you were separated with an OTH discharge and an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and claim that you were excused from duty due to that fact that you were on convalescent leave. Nevertheless, the Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of your numerous acts of misconduct. Furthermore, the Board found you waived your right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a better characterization of service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director