

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

SJN

Docket No: 10277-12 3 December 2012



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 29 November 2012. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 21 November 1989. The Board found that during the period from 14 July 1990 to 30 August 1991, you received three nonjudicial punishments (NJP's) for three days of unauthorized absence, missing ship's movement, absence from your appointed place of duty, and assault consummated by a battery. Additionally, you were counseled and warned after your first NJP, that further misconduct could result in administrative discharge action. Subsequently, administrative discharge action was initiated by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. You waived your rights to consult counsel, submit a statement or have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 16 September 1991, your commanding officer forwarded your case recommending that you be discharged under other than honorable (OTH) conditions by reason of misconduct. He stated, in part, that you had yet to complete one-half of your service obligation before you had received three NJP's, two of which were for serious offenses. He found that you had no desire or potential

for further service. On 18 September 1991, the separation authority concurred and directed an OTH discharge by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. You were so discharged on 27 September 1991.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and record of service. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given your three NJP's, two of which were for serious offenses, and the fact that you were counseled and warned after your first NJP of the consequences of further misconduct. The Board also noted that you waived the right to an ADB, your best chance for retention or a better characterization of service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

BRIAN J. GEORGE

Burn Drauge

Head, Discharge Section