



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BJG
Docket No: 3446-13
11 February 2014

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

You are advised that since your discharge is less than 15 years old, you may apply to the Naval Discharge Review Board (NDRB) for a change of your characterization of service and narrative reason for separation. I have enclosed NDRB's application form for your convenience.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 11 February 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Navy and entered a period of active duty on 20 April 2010. You received nonjudicial punishment on two occasions for using provoking speech or gestures (two

instances), and insubordinate conduct. Your command notified you that you were being processed for administrative separation due to misconduct. On 25 June 2012, you received a general characterization of service in pay grade E-1 due to misconduct, and were assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reentry code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and current desire to change your reentry code. However, the Board concluded that there was no error or injustice in the assignment of your RE-4 reentry code in light of your misconduct and non-recommendation for retention. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT D. ZSALMAN
Acting Executive Director

Enclosure