



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BJG  
Docket No: 4339-13  
16 September 2014

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: [REDACTED]  
REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 dtd 10 Apr 13 w/attachments

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed written application, enclosure (1), with this Board requesting, in effect, to change her reentry code of RE-4 (not recommended for retention), which was issued on 19 October 2012.

2. The Board, consisting of Messrs. Exnicios, Grover, and Ivins, reviewed allegations of error and injustice on 10 September 2014, and pursuant to its regulations, determined that relief should be granted. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies which were available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. Petitioner enlisted in the Navy and entered a period of active duty on 26 November 2002. She was not the subject of any disciplinary action. On 19 September 2012, she was diagnosed with an adjustment disorder and depressed mood. He was then recommended for administrative separation due to her diagnosed adjustment disorder. On 19 October 2012, she was discharged with an honorable characterization of service in pay grade E-6 due to a condition, not a disability, and assigned an RE-4 reentry code.

c. Petitioner could have been assigned an RE-3G (condition, not a disability, interfering with performance of duty) reentry code.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting a change of Petitioner's reentry code. The Board believes an RE-4 reentry code is not appropriate in this case due to her exemplary service record. The Board believes the waivable RE-3G reentry code would have been the correct code in this case. In view of the above, the Board directs the following corrective action.


RECOMMENDATION:

a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to show that on 19 October 2012, she was issued an RE-3G reentry code vice the RE-4 now of record.

b. That any material or entries inconsistent with or relating to the Board's recommendation be corrected, removed or completely expunged from Petitioner's record and that no such entries or material be added to the record in the future.

c. That any material directed to be removed from Petitioner's naval record be returned to the Board, together with a copy of this Report of Proceedings, for retention in a confidential file maintained for such purpose, with no cross reference being made a part of Petitioner's naval record.

4. Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(c)) it is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

  
BRIAN J. GEORGE  
Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. J. O'Neill", written in a cursive style.

ROBERT J. O'NEILL  
Executive Director