

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORD 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

CRS

Docket No: 5768-13

28 April 2014



This is in reference to your application for correction of your Naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 February 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 6 July 1970. You received nonjudicial punishment on four occasions for offenses that included larceny, disrespect, wrongful possession of marijuana, absence from your appointed place of duty, and misbehavior of a sentinel. On 9 May 1972 a medical evaluation board diagnosed you with chronic schizophrenia, moderate. On 15 May 1972 you received a general discharge by reason of physical disability, existing prior to entry.

In its review of your application, the Board considered your contention that you have deslexia and not schizophrenia, but found it unsubstantiated and insufficient to warrant the

approval of your request for corrective action. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that appresumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN

Acting Executive Director