



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BJG
Docket No: 5880-13
28 May 2014

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 28 May 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You reenlisted in the Navy on 29 October 1990 after more than two years of prior honorable service. You received nonjudicial punishment for a period of unauthorized absence totaling two days and wrongful possession of marijuana. You were then advised that your command was recommending you for administrative separation with an other than honorable (OTH) characterization of service due to misconduct. You waived your procedural right to have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 7 April 1995, you were discharged

with an OTH characterization of service due to misconduct, and assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reentry code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and prior honorable service. However, the Board concluded that your discharge should not be changed due to your acts of misconduct. The Board noted that you waived your right to an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a better characterization of service. Finally, you are advised that the mere passage of time or post service good conduct do not require the upgrade of a discharge. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert D. Zsalmán".

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN
Acting Executive Director