

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

SJN

Docket No: 7266-13 14 August 2014



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 August 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 24 February 1987. The Board found that on 3 November 1988, you were convicted by civil authorities of driving under the influence (DUI) of alcohol. You were sentenced to pay a fine, 180 days in jail, which was suspended, and required to attend an alcohol awareness program. On 23 January 1989, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for five days of unauthorized absence (UA). On 24 January 1989, you were counseled and warned that further misconduct could result in administrative discharge action. On 24 March 1989, you received a second NJP for 15 days of UA. Subsequently, administrative discharge action was initiated by reason of misconduct due to commission of a serious offense and civil conviction. You waived your rights to consult counsel, submit a statement or have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). Your case was forwarded

recommending that you be discharged under other than honorable (OTH) conditions by reason of misconduct. The separation authority concurred and directed an OTH discharge by reason of misconduct. On 4 May 1989, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your record of service and desire to upgrade your discharge. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant any change in your discharge given your civil conviction of DUI, two NJP's, and the fact that you were warned of the consequences of further misconduct. Finally, the Board noted that you waived the right to an ADB, your best chance for retention or a better characterization of service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN

low. Church

. Acting Executive Director