



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BC
Docket No: 08247-13
2 September 2014

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 27 August 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 10 January 1984. On 1 October 1985, you were convicted by a special court-martial (SPCM) of larceny and sentenced to forfeiture of \$700, reduction in pay grade and 60 days confinement at hard labor. Between 13 May and 19 August 1987, you received three nonjudicial punishments (NJPs) for the following offenses: being in an unauthorized absence (UA) status totaling three days, six incidents of disobeying a lawful order and six incidents of being disrespectful toward a petty officer. You were advised that your commanding officer was recommending you for administrative separation with a discharge under other than honorable conditions (UOTHC) due to misconduct. You elected to have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 12 November 1987, the ADB determined that you had committed misconduct and recommended that you be administratively separated with a discharge UOTHC. Your

commanding officer agreed and forwarded his recommendation to the discharge authority, who agreed and directed a discharge UOTHC. On 28 January 1988, you were so discharged and assigned an RE-4 (ineligible for reenlistment) reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your application, considered all potentially mitigating factors present in your case. Nevertheless, the Board found that those factors insufficient to warrant changing the characterization of your discharge, given your very extensive record of misconduct. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director