

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BC

Docket No: 08586-13

16 January 2014



Dear L

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 15 January 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 26 January 2009. On 12 February 2009, you underwent a medical evaluation and it was identified that you had asthma which existed prior to your enlistment. During this evaluation you disclosed that you were aware of this condition and failed to disclose it during your entry physical. On 18 February 2009, you were discharged with an uncharacterized entry level separation by reason of fraudulent enlistment and assigned a reentry code of RE-3F.

The Board noted that an RE-3F is the most favorable reentry code that may be assigned to individuals who are separated prior to completing recruit training. As you were not eligible for reenlistment, a code of RE-1 was not authorized. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN

Acting Executive Director