



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No. 3649-16  
MAR 08 2017

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 January 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. The Board also reviewed the advisory opinion provided by Headquarters Marine Corps (MMRP-13/PERB) dated 19 April 2016 ("AO"), which was sent to you on 19 April 2016 for an opportunity to comment prior to being considered by the Board. After the 30-day period for comment expired without a response from you, the case was presented to the Board.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this regard, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the AO. The Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB) concluded that while the statement, "MRO has completed SNCO Career Course DEP and is a prime candidate for resident PME" is an inaccurate statement, this does not invalidate the entire report. HQMC has subsequently removed this statement from the report, and therefore Board action regarding this portion of your request is not required. Additionally, the Board concluded that your contention that the removed statement unjustly alludes to an underachieving performance for the reporting period is unsubstantiated, and you have not demonstrated that the Comparative Assessment mark is anything other than a true and accurate mark for the reporting period. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not

previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Executive Director.

Executive Director