



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 3879-16

JUN 13 2017



Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 U.S.C. §1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 March 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. The advisory opinion provided in the Navy Personnel Command memorandum 1610 PERS-32 was sent to you on 6 February 2017 for an opportunity to comment prior to being considered by the Board. After the 30 day period for comment expired without a response, the case was presented to the Board.

You requested that a non-observed evaluation report be removed from your record since the report is not needed since you were attached to the command less than 90 days. After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this regard, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. The Board noted that in accordance to BUPERSINST 1610.10C (EVALMAN), the evaluation report is valid since an overlap in reports is authorized. The Board concluded that your contention was not sufficient to warrant relief in your case, because no error or injustice was identified in its review of your record. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board.

In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Executive Director.

Executive Director