

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No: 3963-16

Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 December 2016. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion (AO) furnished by Headquarters, Marine Corps (MMRP-13) dated 29 April 2016, a copy of which was previously provided to you and is enclosed.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully considered your desire to have your fitness report for the reporting period from 7 January 2015 to 24 July 2015 removed from your record, or that the professional military education (PME) mark be altered if the report is not removed. In this regard, the Board considered your contentions that your PME marks have consistently been "D" and not "B" and that your PME exceeds your military occupational specialty (MOS) and required education. The Board also considered your contention that your fitness report is not a fair evaluation and the Reporting Senior (RS) and Reviewing Officer (RO) markings and comments do not match throughout the report.

The Board concurred with the AO that your report is administratively and procedurally correct and should not be removed. In regards to your desire to raise your PME mark due to your contention that this mark has been consistently higher and that you have exceeded your education requirements, there is no indication that you completed any PME and/or any indication that you exhibited/completed PME outlook beyond your MOS and required education during the reporting period, nor did you provide any documentation that would justify raising this mark. The Board did note that you completed the 8200 series not during the designated reporting period. Lastly, the Board felt the comments made by the RS and RO were accurate and fair.

Docket No: 3963-16

Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of this letter. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

Executive Director