



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 7284-16
DEC 11 2017

[REDACTED]
Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 September 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 4 June 2012. On 24 November 2015 and on 3 June 2016, you received a special evaluation report due to failing to qualify in 30 months as an Enlisted Aviation Warfare Specialist as required by the [REDACTED] Instruction 1414.2F and as a result you were not recommended for retention. As a result of the foregoing, at the completion of your required active service on 3 June 2016, you were separated with an Honorable characterization of service and an RE-4 reentry code for not being recommended for retention or reenlistment.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board carefully weighed your desire to upgrade your reenlistment code and your contention that you served your time honorably without receiving a nonjudicial punishment (NJP) or a court martial. However, the Board noted that due to your failure to qualify within 30 months on two occasions as an Enlisted Aviation Warfare Specialist as required while onboard the [REDACTED] you were not recommended for retention on your evaluation report. The Board concluded there was no error or injustice in your record. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Executive Director.

Executive Director