

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No: 7358-16 OCT 3 0 2017



Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 August 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps and began a period of active duty on 8 July 1981. You served for a year and two months without disciplinary incident, but on 15 October 1982, you received nonjudicial punishment for insubordinate conduct toward a noncommissioned officer by disobeying a lawful order and using disrespectful language. Although the Board lacked your entire service record book (SRB) it appears from the SRB entries before the Board that you were subsequently involuntarily processed for separation by reason of personality disorder determined by a medical board. In connection with this processing, you would have acknowledged the separation action and the separation authority would have approved a recommendation for separation. The record clearly shows that on 17 January 1983, you were discharged with a general under honorable conditions separation due to personality disorder based on your disciplinary record and conduct mark average. Characterization of service is based in part on conduct and proficiency averages computed from marks assigned on a periodic basis. Your conduct average was 3.0. At the time of your service, a conduct average of 4.0 was required for a fully honorable characterization of service.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Docket No: 7358-16

The Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors and your contention that you were told your discharge would be automatically upgraded after six months. The Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant relief in your case because of your misconduct that resulted in an NJP and failure to attain the required average in conduct. In regard to your contention, there is no provision of law or in Marine Corps regulations that allows for recharacterization of service due solely to the passage of time. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

Executive Director