

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No: 7457-16



Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 19 September 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

Regarding your request for a personal appearance, the Board determined that a personal appearance with or without counsel will not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You enlisted in the Navy on 11 May 1982. During the period from 5 May 1983 to 18 October 1984, you received four nonjudicial punishments (NJP) for the following offenses: unauthorized absence (UA) totaling eight days, three specifications of disobeying a lawful order, wrongful use of marijuana, missing ship's movement, and disrespect to a senior petty officer. Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct and frequent involvement with military authorities. After you waived your procedural rights, your Commanding Officer recommended discharge under other than honorable (OTH) conditions by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct and frequent involvement with military authorities. The discharge authority approved your Commanding Officer's recommendation and directed separation under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct. On 25 February 1985, you were discharged.

Docket No: 7457-16

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as a character letter, your desire to upgrade your discharge and contention that you were under the assumption that your discharge would automatically be upgraded after six months. The Board concluded that these factors were not sufficient to warrant relief given your misconduct. In this regard, the Board concluded that the seriousness of your misconduct, as evidenced by four NJPs, outweighed your desire to upgrade your discharge and supports the Commanding Officer's decision to issue you an OTH discharge. The Board noted that there is no provision in law or regulations that allows for recharacterization of a discharge automatically after six months, due solely to the passage of time. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

Executive Director