



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]  
Docket No. 341-17

JAN 08 2018

[REDACTED]  
Dear [REDACTED]


This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 U.S.C. § 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 27 October 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. The advisory opinion provided in CNP memo 4600 Ser N130C4/17U0678 of 25 May 2017 was sent to you on 7 July 2017 for an opportunity to comment prior to being considered by the Board. A copy of this advisory opinion is again enclosed. After the 30-day period for comment expired without a response, the case was presented to the Board.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Your application claims, "I reported June 2016 and departed October 2016 as an Individual Augmentee...I was also promised I would get flight deck pay during that time or sometime thereafter." The Board found that in accordance with the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation, Volume 7A, Part 2, Chapter 24, Section 240301, paragraph B. "Quotas and Billets. The number of members entitled to DFHDP is subject to the monthly quotas applicable to the eligible air capable ships and type or combination of air units operating from such ships, as promulgated in Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV) Instructions in the 7220.4 series..." The Board concurred with the advisory opinion that per the email chain submitted with your application, the [REDACTED] utilized the allotted monthly quotas to allocate Flight Deck Hazardous Duty Incentive Pay to those members who fell into the ship's monthly quotas for the incentive pay. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
Executive Director

