



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 570-17
MAR 27 2017

[REDACTED]
Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 March 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


From records that were available, you enlisted in the Navy Reserve on 28 January 1985. The Board noted that on 20 May 1989, an administrative remarks form NAVPERS 1070/613 documented that you were notified of being separated from the Navy Reserve via an NMPC-913 letter dated 9 May 1989 for unsatisfactory participation in the Navy Reserve. Unsatisfactory participation can occur for reasons including, but not limited to, incurring enough unexcused absences from drill weekends in an anniversary year, or if you failed to report for physical examination. Ultimately, in your case, the separation authority directed an Other than Honorable discharge and on 20 May 1989, you were discharged.

The Board, in its review of your record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors. The Board concluded, given the presumption of regularity with your available official records, that you were properly notified and processed for separation in

accordance with all U.S. Navy directives in effect at the time of your separation. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


Executive Director