

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 100% ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No. 4900-17



Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your newal record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Reports, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 January 2018. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of errorand injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighted all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions that your Home of Record (HOR) should be changed on your Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) to reflect vice The Board determined, per Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps letter 1001 RAM-2 June 1991, that you were ordered to active duty from your current address which is Futhermore, there is no evidence that you changed your HOR to prior to entering active duty, which you would have had to do before 2 July 1996. Moreover, there is no evidence that you had a break in service between your 15 October 1998 and 17 October 2001 realistments; therefore, the HOR on your 17 October 2001 reenlistment is invalid. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that knorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all



official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

Executive Director