



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]  
Docket No. 6311-17  
JAN 16 2018

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED] USN,  
[REDACTED]

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments  
(2) NPC memo 1160 Ser 813/209 dtd 5 Dec 17  
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a) Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that the applicable naval record be corrected to establish entitlement to a zone "A" Selective Reenlistment Contract (SRB).

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 5 January 2018 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. In correspondence attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request has merit and warrants favorable action.

#### CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting corrective action due to the following: Petitioner received a modification to change duty orders on 6 March 2017 with a required obligated service to October 2020. Petitioner was eligible to reenlist for an SRB without the need of an Obligated Service to Train (OTT) extension; however, due to bad counseling by the Command Career Counselor, Petitioner extended vice reenlisting.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICC [REDACTED] USN,  
[REDACTED]

## RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

The 6 month agreement to extend enlistment (NAVPERS 1070/621), executed on 21 March 2017, is null and void.

The Petitioner was discharged and reenlisted on 27/28 April 2017, vice on or about 6/7 September 2017. The term is 6 years vice 4 years.

This change will entitle the Petitioner to a zone "A" SRB with an award level of 0.5 (30,000 dollar award ceiling) for the ND/5343 rate/NEC. Remaining Obligated Service to 3 May 2017 will be deducted from SRB computation.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

[REDACTED]  
Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

[REDACTED]  
Executive Director