



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No. 6365-17
MAR 29 2018

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 February 2018. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. The advisory opinion provided in Navy Personnel Command memorandum 1160 Ser 813/178 dated 27 October 2017 was sent to you on 3 January 2018 for an opportunity to comment prior to being considered by the Board. A copy of this advisory opinion is again enclosed. After the 30 day period for comment expired without a response, the case was presented to the Board.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. You assert that you should have been authorized to execute a reenlistment on 1 October 2016 with the intent to receive a Selective Reenlistment Bonus. The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighted all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. Nevertheless, it was determined that you were not authorized to execute reenlistment since you failed to submit your reenlistment request form in a timely manner. The reenlistment request form that you provided was not endorsed by key members of your command. Moreover, the reenlistment request form listed a reenlistment date of 20 February 2017 vice 1 October 2016. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board.

In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Executive Director