

DEPARTMENT OF THE NA

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No: 6399-17

DEC 2 6 2017

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO

USN.

Ref:

(a) 10 U.S.C. § 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149

(2) Fitness Report (FITREP) for the period 20141102 to 20151115

(3) NPC PERS-32 Memo of 13 Oct 17

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, an enlisted member of the Navy, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting that his naval record be corrected by revising his fitness report (FITREP) covering the period 2 November 2014 to 15 November 2015. Enclosures (1) through (3) apply.
- 2. The Board, consisting of reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 8 November 2017, and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of the Petitioner's naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the action taken by Navy Personnel Command (PERS-32) Memorandum dated 13 October 2017.
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
- b. The record reflects that the Petitioner was issued a Periodic/Regular FITREP for the reporting period 2 November 2014 to 15 November 2015. See enclosure (2).
- c. Petitioner contends that the Physical Readiness (APFT) test score in block 20 was incorrectly marked to reflect "PP" and should have been marked "PW".
- d. Enclosure (3) states in part, that the member's PRIMS record indicates that the member was medically waived from the PFA cycle 15-2 and supports his petition that block 20 may have been marked incorrectly. A correction to block 20 would be supplemental in nature requiring a

letter supplement for a revised evaluation report from the original reporting senior. The original reporting senior may submit supplementary material within 2 years after the ending date of the report.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and in light of enclosure (3), that the Petitioner's requests warrants favorable action. In this regard, the Board concludes that the Petitioner be given an opportunity to submit a supplemental change letter for a revised report and submit the letter and revised report to PERS-32.

In view of the above the Board directs the following corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

Petitioner be given an opportunity to submit a supplemental change letter for a revised report and submit the letter and revised report to PERS-32.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.



Executive Director