



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]
Docket No. 6501-17
DEC 14 2017

[REDACTED]
Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 U.S.C. §1552.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your case on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 October 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. The Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your assertions that your months of service are incorrect and short by one month. After reviewing both of your DD Form 214's, the Board concluded that you were given too much service credit for your total service. Your DD Form 214 dated 24 March 1978; block 18c, states you have a total active service equaling 6 years, 10 months, and 8 days. This is wrong because your first period of service you obtained a total of 2 years, 6 months, and 10 day which is listed; in error, on your first DD Form 214. The Board agreed that the correct total active service is 6 years, 6 months, and 9 days. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board.

In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Executive Director.

Executive Director