



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

Docket No: 6954-17
DEC 9 6 2017

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 November 2017. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

The Board carefully considered your desire to remove the Record of Unauthorized Absence (NAVPERS 1070/606) from your Official Military Personnel File (OMPF). The Board considered your contention that the NAVPERS 1070/606 was entered into your OMPF erroneously, that you have never been in an unauthorized absence (UA) status in your career and that you injured yourself playing basketball during the time frame that the NAVPERS 1070/606 has you listed as being in an UA status. The Board determined that the documentation that you submitted was insufficient to establish that you were not in a UA status for the period of five days as annotated on your NAVPERS 1070/606. The Board concluded that the NAVPERS 1070/606 entry is procedurally and administratively correct as written and filed, and that you did not submit enough information to substantiate that an error or injustice occurred. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all

official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the Executive Director.

Executive Director