



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 100  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]  
Docket No: 7097-17  
FEB 22 2018

[REDACTED]  
Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 January 2018. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

The Board carefully considered your desire to remove your Unpunishment Book (UPB) and corresponding Administrative Remarks (Page 11) counseling entries from your official military personnel file (OMPF). The Board considered your contention that you indicated on your Page 11 entry dated 19 December 2012 that you wanted to submit a rebuttal statement, you submitted the statement, but the command did not include the statement with the Page 11 entry when it was uploaded into your OMPF. The Board also considered your contention that without the rebuttal statement, the inclusion of the Page 11 in your OMPF is an injustice. The Board determined there was insufficient evidence to support your contention that you submitted a rebuttal statement or that the Command failed to forward the Page 11 for inclusion in your OMPF. Additionally, you requested removal of the UPB entry but did not provide any reason why the entry should be removed or any evidence to show its entry was in error or unjust. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken at this time. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new and material evidence. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all



Docket No: 7097-17

official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



Executive Director