

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 8853-23 Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER

USN, XXX-XX-

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. §1552

(b) SECDEF Memo of 13 Sep 14 (Hagel Memo)

(c) PDUSD Memo of 24 Feb 16 (Carson Memo)

(d) USECDEF Memo of 25 Aug 2017 (Kurta Memo)

(e) USECDEF Memo of 25 Jul 18 (Wilkie Memo)

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments

(2) Naval record (excerpts)

(3) Advisory opinion of 8 Mar 24

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) requesting for an upgrade of his characterization of service to Honorable (HON) and change to his separation code.
- 2. The Board, consisting of _____, and ____, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 17 April 2024 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of Petitioner's application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include references (b) through (e). In addition, the Board considered enclosure (3), an advisory opinion (AO) from a qualified mental health professional. Although Petitioner was provided an opportunity to respond to the AO, he chose not to do so.
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulation within the Department of the Navy.
- b. Although enclosure (1) was not filed in a timely manner, the statute of limitation was waived in accordance with the Kurta Memo.
 - c. Petitioner enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 17 May 2001.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER USN, XXX-XX-

- d. On 12 December 2002, Petitioner received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for wrongful use of amphetamine and methamphetamine.
- e. Consequently, Petitioner was notified that he was being recommended for administrative discharge from the Navy by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse. Petitioner was advised of and waived his procedural right to consult with military counsel, and to present his case to an administrative discharge board (ADB).
- f. Petitioner's commanding officer (CO) forwarded the administrative separation package to the separation authority (SA) recommending that Petitioner be administratively discharged from the Navy with an Other Than Honorable (OTH) characterization of service. The SA approved the recommendation for administrative discharge and directed Petitioner's OTH discharge from the Navy. On 5 February 2003, Petitioner was so discharged. At the time of discharge Petitioner was issued a separation code of "HKK," which corresponds to misconduct due to drug abuse.
 - g. Petitioner contends the following injustices warranting relief:
 - (1) He incurred PTSD after witnessing a shipboard fire;
 - (2) He did not have any disciplinary problems prior to his positive drug test;
- (3) He was subject to a hazing incident that resulted in physical abuse, and he was told not to report the incident;
 - (4) The hazing incident left him both physical and psychological pain; and
- (5) He felt that he had no one to turn to for help in easing the pain that he was suffering from and attempted to self-medicate.
- h. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board considered the supporting documentation Petitioner provided in support of his application.
- i. As part of the Board's review, a qualified mental health professional reviewed Petitioner's contentions and the available records and provided the Board with enclosure (3), an advisory opinion (AO). The AO stated in pertinent part:

There is no evidence of a diagnosis of a mental health condition during military service. Post service, the VA has granted service connection for PTSD. A VA psychology fellow has expressed the opinion that his misconduct was self-medication for PTSD symptoms. However, in considering all the available evidence, greater weight has been given to the Petitioner's pre-service history of problematic alcohol use and substance experimentation which appears to have continued in service over limited VA records that are temporally remote to his service. Additional records (e.g., post-service mental health records describing the Petitioner's diagnosis, symptoms, and their specific link to his misconduct) may aid in rendering an alternate opinion.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER USN, XXX-XX-

The AO concluded, "it is my clinical opinion there is post-service evidence from the VA of a diagnosis of PTSD that may be attributed to military service. There is insufficient evidence to attribute his misconduct to a PTSD."

CONCLUSION

Upon careful review and consideration of all of the evidence of record, the Board determined that Petitioner's request warrants partial relief in the interests of justice.

The Board found no error in Petitioner's OTH characterization of service discharge for separation for misconduct due to drug abuse. However, because Petitioner based his claim for relief in whole or in part upon his PTSD, the Board reviewed his application in accordance with the guidance of references (b) through (e).

Accordingly, the Board applied liberal consideration to Petitioner's claimed PTSD and the effect that it may have had upon his misconduct. In this regard, the Board substantially agreed with the AO in that there is sufficient evidence of a post-service mental health condition that may be attributed to military service.

In applying liberal consideration to Petitioner's mental health condition and any effect that it may have had upon his misconduct; the Board considered the totality of the circumstances to determine whether relief is warranted in the interests of justice. In this regard, the Board considered, among other factors, the mitigating effect of Petitioner's mental health condition may have had upon his misconduct. After thorough review, the Board found that Petitioner's PTSD did have an effect on his misconduct and the mitigating circumstances of his mental health condition outweighed the misconduct for which Petitioner was discharged. Therefore, the Board determined the interests of justice are served by upgrading his characterization of service to General (Under Honorable Conditions) (GEN) and changing his reason for separation to reflect a Secretarial Authority discharge.

Notwithstanding the recommended corrective action below, the Board was not willing to grant an upgrade to an HON discharge. The Board determined that an HON discharge was appropriate only if the service member's service was otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization of service would be clearly inappropriate. The Board concluded by opining that certain negative aspects of the Petitioner's conduct outweighed the positive aspects of his military record even under the liberal consideration standards, and that a GEN discharge characterization, and no higher, was appropriate. Additionally, the Board determined Petitioner's assigned reentry code remains appropriate in light of his unsuitability for further military service. Ultimately, the Board concluded that any injustice in Petitioner's record is adequately addressed by the recommended corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the above, the Board recommends that the following corrective action be taken on Petitioner's naval record in the interests of justice:

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER USN, XXX-XX-

That Petitioner be issued a new Certificate of Release from Active Duty (DD Form 214) reflecting that, for the period ending 5 February 2003, Petitioner's character of service was "General (Under Honorable Conditions)," narrative reason for separation was "Secretarial Authority," the SPD code assigned was "JFF," and the separation authority was "MILPERSMAN 1910-164."

That no further correction action be taken on Petitioner's naval record.

That a copy of this record of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

- 4. It is certified that quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.
- 5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

