



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█  
Docket No. 10100-23  
Ref: Signature Date

█  
█  
█

Dear █,

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 May 2024. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion contained in Naval Personnel Command memorandum █ of █, which was previously provided to you for comment.

The Board determined that your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You requested your Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) effective 24 April 1991 to reflect appropriate time in service, deployment on the █, and missing medals/awards related to multiple conflicts related to deployment ship during █ conflict. The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. Navy Personnel Command (PERS-312) has advised the Board that a DD Form 215, Correction of DD Form 214 was issued for the awards associated with your service onboard the █. However, upon reviewing your record, the Board concluded that you enlisted in the Naval Reserve on 6 August 1986 for 8 years of which 4 years was an active duty obligation. You entered active duty on 25 August 1986. On 2 February 1987, you were assigned to the █

█ for duty until transferring on 7 January 1988. Thereafter, you were assigned to █ as a student on temporary duty under instruction (TEMDUINS) from 5 February 1988 to 19 April 1988. Followed by another TEMDUINS assignment as a student with █ from 8 May 1988 to 22 July 1988. During you TEMDUINS periods you extended your active duty obligation for 8 months to incur sufficient obligated service to accept orders. Your final permanent duty station was █ from 30 July 1988 to 24 April 1991 at which time you were released from active duty and transferred to the Navy Reserve to complete your military service obligation (MSO). You were issued a DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge for your active duty service from 25 August 1986 to 24 April 1991. On 5 August 1994, you were discharged from the Navy Reserve after completing your MSO.

The Board determined the active duty service time reflected on your DD Form 214 is correct and per Navy Military Personnel Command Instruction 1900.1B it is inappropriate to list unit deployments in which you participated on the DD Form 214, therefore no further corrective action is warranted.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

5/23/2024

█