

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 10637-23 Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A threemember panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 3 June 2024. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the Navy and commenced active duty on 1 June 1981. On 18 October 1981, you were issued an administrative remarks (Page 13) counseling concerning deficiencies in your performance and/or conduct, specifically your failure to disclose pre-service civil offenses. You were advised that any further deficiencies in your performance and/or conduct may result in disciplinary action and in processing for administrative discharge.

On 3 December 1981, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for seven specifications of unauthorized absence (UA) and one specification of dereliction of duty. On 2 May 1982, you received another Page 13 counseling and were advised that any further deficiencies in your performance and/or conduct may result in disciplinary action and in processing for administrative discharge. On 6 May 1982, you received NJP for three specifications of UA and one specification of dereliction of duty. On 17 June 1982, you received NJP for possession of marijuana, possession of drug paraphernalia, and dereliction of duty.

On 7 July 1982, you were evaluated as not dependent on illicit substances. Your record indicated "it appears he still has psychological dependency on alcohol (which he was screened for in May 82)...has refrained from drinking with the help of Antabuse." The same day, you were notified of pending administrative separation processing with an Under Other Than Honorable conditions (OTH) discharge by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse and pattern of misconduct. You waived your rights to consult counsel, submit a statement, or have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 15 July 1982, you received NJP for three specifications of UA and failure to obey a lawful order. On 24 August 1982, the Separation Authority directed your discharge with an OTH characterization of service and you were so discharged on 3 September 1982.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to change your discharge characterization of service and your contentions that you did not receive any in-service help for your drinking problem and that you have, post-discharge, overcome your drinking problem and have been clean and sober for twenty-five years. Additionally, the Board noted you checked the "Mental Health" box on your application but chose not to respond to the 19 December 2023 letter from the Board requesting evidence in support of your claim. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded your potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJPs, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and the fact it involved a drug offense. The Board determined that illegal drug possession by a service member is contrary to military core values and policy, renders such members unfit for duty, and poses an unnecessary risk to the safety of their fellow service members. The Board also considered the likely negative impact your repeated misconduct had on the good order and discipline of your command. The Board noted that you were given multiple opportunities to address your conduct issues, but you continued to commit misconduct, which ultimately led to your separation for frequent involvement with military authorities. Finally, the Board was not persuaded by your contention that you did not received assistance for your alcohol abuse issues while in service based on medical evidence that documents you were treated in May 1982 with Antabuse.

As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a service member and continues to warrant an OTH characterization. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in

mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

6/24/2024