Docket No. 644-24
Ref: Signature Date

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 April 2024. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the Kurta Memo.

A review of your record shows that you entered active duty in the United States Marine Corps on 4 November 2019 and served as an administrative specialist, military occupational specialty 0111. On 4 April 2023, you underwent an End of Active Service (EAS) interview. The interview paperwork noted you wanted to stay on active duty but that you were currently in a limited duty (LIMDU) status and had partial physical fitness test (PFT) and combat fitness test (CFT) scores. The interview comments stated in pertinent part:

> understands she will need to drastically improve her physical fitness and JEPES markings to be competitive for an 0111 boat space…understands her LIMDU status is the first thing she needs to address before any kind of retention submission…understands at this time she is to be assigned a RE-3P.

You were subsequently discharged, on 3 November 2023, with an Honorable characterization of service; your Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214) states Completion of Required Active Service as the narrative reason for separation and a reentry code of RE-3P.

For this petition, you request your reenlistment code to be changed. You claim you were given a reenlistment code of RE-3P because you were awaiting a medical board. However, you argue that you were discharged at your EAS without a medical board. You further contest your medical board was going to be based on a knee injury but the board was canceled due to the proximity of your EAS.

The Board carefully reviewed your petition and the material that you provided in support of your petition, and disagreed with your rationale for relief. In reaching its decision, the Board found you were properly counseled in accordance with regulation that you were going to be assigned a RE-3P code due to being in a LIMDU status and not having run a full PFT or CFT in the last year. The Board noted you did not provide any additional information to rebut your RE-3P finding and there is no documentation in your record that you were removed from limited duty status or that you were able to pass a fitness test prior to your release from active duty. Therefore, the Board did not observe any error or injustice in your naval records. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

5/14/2024