



of service and contention that you have been diagnosed with PTSD. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board considered the documentation you provided in support of your application.

As part of the Board's review, a qualified mental health professional reviewed your contentions and the available records and provided the Board with an AO on 25 July 2024. The AO stated in pertinent part:

The Petitioner submitted VA compensation and pension rating indicating 100% service connection for PTSD granted August 2022. He did not submit any supporting evidence regarding the rationale or etiology for the diagnosed PTSD, e.g., Disability Benefits Questionnaire (DBQ) etc. He did not submit any further medical evidence in support of his claim. There is no evidence that the Petitioner was diagnosed with a mental health condition while in military service, or that he exhibited any symptoms of a mental health condition. His statement is not sufficiently detailed to provide a nexus with his misconduct. Additional records (e.g., mental health records describing the Petitioner's diagnosis, symptoms, and their specific link to his misconduct) would aid in rendering an alternate opinion.

The AO concluded, "it is my considered clinical opinion there is sufficient evidence of a post-service mental health condition that is temporally remote to service. There is insufficient evidence that his misconduct could be attributed to a mental health condition."

After thorough review, the Board concluded your potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your non-judicial punishment for the wrongful use of marijuana, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and the fact it involved a drug offense. The Board determined that illegal drug use by a service member is contrary to military core values and policy, renders such members unfit for duty, and poses an unnecessary risk to the safety of their fellow service members. Additionally, the Board noted that marijuana use in any form is still against Department of Defense regulations and not permitted for recreational use while serving in the military. The Board also considered the likely negative effect your misconduct had on the good order and discipline of your unit. Further, the Board concurred with the AO that, while there is sufficient evidence of a post-service mental health condition that is temporally remote to service, there is insufficient evidence that your misconduct could be attributed to a mental health condition. As the AO explained, your statement is not sufficiently detailed to provide a nexus with your misconduct and there is no evidence that you were diagnosed with a mental health condition while in military service, or that you exhibited any symptoms of a mental health condition. Furthermore, you did not submit any supporting evidence regarding the rationale or etiology for the diagnosed PTSD. Finally, the Board determined your Department of Veterans Affairs rating is too temporally remote from your military service. Therefore, the Board determined that the evidence of record did not demonstrate that you were not mentally responsible for your conduct or that you should otherwise not be held accountable for your actions.

As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a service member and continues to warrant an OTH characterization. While the Board carefully considered the evidence you submitted in mitigation, even in light of the Kurta, Hagel, and Wilkie Memos and reviewing the record liberally and holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Ultimately, the Board concluded the mitigation evidence you provided was insufficient to outweigh the seriousness of your misconduct. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

9/27/2024

