



The Board considered your submission of supporting documentation and your statement that your DD Form 214 does not reflect the year that you were assigned to a destroyer or the two years active time shore duty with the Seabee Battalion before your separation to inactive reserve.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. The Board noted there is no evidence in your record that your DD Form 214 inaccurately documents your period of active-duty service. Therefore, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants changing your period of active-duty service annotated on your DD Form 214. The Board relies on a presumption of regularity to support the official actions of public officers and, in the absence of substantial evidence to the contrary, will presume that they have properly discharged their official duties. The Board determined the evidence you provided was insufficient to rebut the presumption of regularity in your case. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

6/16/2024

