



Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

- c. On 18 August 2017, Petitioner transferred from [REDACTED] and arrived to [REDACTED] on 30 August 2017 for duty.
- d. In February 2020, Petitioner was awarded Navy Enlisted Classification (NEC) N26S.
- e. Reference (b) announced revised SRB policy for Active Component and Full Time Support, superseding NAVADMIN 272/19. Sailors must now have reenlisted within 365 days of their EAOS (as opposed to 270 days required in NAVADMIN 272/19), except in the case of Nuclear-trained Sailors who could have reenlisted at any point in the reenlistment zone, per guidance in OPNAVINST 1160.8B.
- f. On 25 February 2021, Petitioner entered Zone B.
- g. On 16 August 2021, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders 2281.<sup>1</sup> On 17 December 2021, Petitioner signed an agreement to extend enlistment for 22 months with an Soft EAOS of 30 May 2025 in order to incur sufficient obligated service to execute orders and Petitioner transferred from [REDACTED], and arrived to [REDACTED] on 2 January 2022 for temporary duty.
- h. In May 2022, Petitioner was awarded NEC N76Z.
- i. On 4 May 2022, Petitioner transferred from [REDACTED], and arrived to [REDACTED] on 6 May 2022 for duty.
- j. Reference (d) FY23 SRB Award Plan (N13 SRB 001/FY23), a Zone “B” SRB with an award level of 8.5 (\$100,000 award ceiling) for the MMN(SW)/N26O/S, rate/NEC was listed.

## CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an error warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that on 25 February 2021, Petitioner entered Zone B. On 16 August 2021, Petitioner was issued orders 2281 with obligated service to May 2025. At that time, a Zone B SRB was authorized in accordance with reference (c).<sup>2</sup> On 17 December 2021, Petitioner signed an agreement to extend enlistment for 22 months to meet the obligated service. The Board determined that Petitioner should have signed a NAVPERS 1070/613 vice extension of enlistment. On 3

---

<sup>1</sup> With required obligated service to May 2025 with an effective date of departure of December 2021. Petitioner’s intermediate (01) activity was [REDACTED] for temporary duty under instruction with an effective date of arrival of 2 January 2022. Petitioner’s ultimate activity was [REDACTED] for duty with an effective date of arrival of 10 May 2022 with a projected rotation date of May 2025. Obligated service to May 2025 required for this assignment which may be satisfied by reenlistment or extension of enlistment. Circumstances such as potential monetary loss under critical skills bonus or SRB, refer to MILPERSMAN 1306-106 para 4. Use of NAVPERS 1070/613 only authorized for SRB eligible sailors.

<sup>2</sup> FY21 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 005/FY21), a Zone “B” SRB with an award level of 7.0 (\$100,000 award ceiling) for the MMN(SW)/N26O/S, rate/NEC was listed.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

November 2022, Petitioner would have been eligible to reenlist for 3 years and receive a Zone B SRB.

#### RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's 22-month agreement to extend enlistment (NAVPERS 1070/621) executed on 17 December 2021 is null and void.

Petitioner executed an Administrative Remarks (NAVPERS 1070/613) on 17 December 2021 agreeing to extend enlistment for 22 months for obligated service to May 2025.

Petitioner was discharged 2 November 2022 and reenlisted on 3 November 2022 for a term of 3 years.

Note: This change will entitle Petitioner to a Zone "B" SRB with an award level of "8.5" (\$100,000 award ceiling) for the MMN(SW)/N26S, rating/NEC. Remaining obligated service to 30 July 2023 will be deducted from SRB computation. Furthermore, that any other entries affected by the Board's recommendation be corrected.

The Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR) will not take any action with the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) for the SRB payment. Petitioner's SRB payment will not be funded by the BCNR's Claims Line of Accounting (LOA). [REDACTED] at N133D has secured funding via unexecuted SRB funds and will use their LOA to pay the SRB payment, and will coordinate directly with the DFAS to determine Petitioner's incentive. Contact the Assistant Nuclear Enlisted Program Manager, N133D, [REDACTED] concerning SRB payment.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

9/27/2024

[REDACTED]