

Docket No. 3924-25 Ref: Signature Date

- From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
- To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO

, USN,

- Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552 (b) NAVADMIN 108/20, 15 Apr 20 (c) FY22 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 001/FY22), 14 Feb 22
- Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
 (2) Advisory opinion by OCNO N133D, 28 Sep 23
 (3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to cancel operative/non-operative extension and show that Petitioner reenlisted on 14 February 2022 for 4 years, was eligible for and received a Zone B Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB) and was eligible to transfer his education benefits to his dependents.

2. The Board, consisting of **Constant 1**, **Constant 1**, and **Constant 1** reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 22 October 2024 and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:

a. On 17 November 2015, Petitioner entered active duty for 4 years with an End of Active Obligated Service (EAOS) of 16 November 2019 and Soft EAOS of 16 November 2021; "[t]raining in the Nuclear Field Program, and advancement to E-4 per MILPERSMAN Articles 1160-040 and 1510-030. I understand that this extension becomes binding upon execution, and thereafter may not be cancelled, except as set forth MILPERSMAN Article 1160-040. In particular, I understand that, when I accept advancement to E-4,12 months of this agreement may not be cancelled, whether or not I complete Nuclear Power or Advanced Training."

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO

, USN,

b. On 29 June 2018, Petitioner transferred from on 29 June 2018 for duty. and arrived to

c. On 19 December 2018, Petitioner reenlisted for 6 years with an EAOS of 18 December 2024 and received a Zone A SRB.

d. On 28 December 2019, Petitioner got married.

e. In accordance with reference (b), this NAVADMIN announced revised SRB policy for Active Component and Full Time Support, superseding NAVADMIN 272/19. Sailors must now have reenlisted within 365 days of their EAOS (as opposed to 270 days required in NAVADMIN 272/19), except in the case of Nuclear-trained Sailors who could have reenlisted at any point in the reenlistment zone, per guidance in OPNAVINST 1160.8B.

f. On 5 September 2020, Petitioner's first dependent child was born.

g. In January 2021, Petitioner was awarded Navy Enlisted Classification (NEC) N16S.

h. On 17 November 2021, Petitioner entered Zone B.

i. In accordance with reference (c), FY22 SRB Award Plan (N13SRB 001/FY22), a Zone "B" SRB with an award level of 8.5 (\$100,000 award ceiling) for the MMN(SS)/N16O/S, rate/NEC was listed.

j. On 24 August 2022, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order:), with required obligated service to November 2025, while stationed in

with an effective date of departure of November 2022. Petitioner's intermediate (01) activity was for temporary duty under instruction with an effective date of arrival of 12 November 2022. Petitioner's ultimate activity was for duty with an effective date of arrival of 16 January 2023 with a projected rotation date (PRD) of November 2025. Obligated service to November 2025, is required for this assignment which may be satisfied by reenlistment or extension of enlistment. Circumstances such as potential monetary loss under critical skills bonus or SRB, refer to MILPERSMAN 1306-106 para 4. Use of NAVPERS 1070/613 only authorized for SRB eligible sailors.

k On 17 October 2022, Petitioner signed an agreement to extend enlistment for 11 months with a Soft EAOS of 18 November 2025 in order to incur sufficient obligated service to execute BUPERS order

1. On 1 November 2022, Petitioner's Master Military Pay Account shows that Petitioner's Submarine Pay stopped.

m. On 1 November 2022, Petitioner transferred from and arrived to on 13 November 2022 for temporary duty.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO

n. In December 2022, Petitioner was awarded NEC 803R

o. On 16 December 2022, Petitioner transferred from and arrived to on 16 January 2023 for duty.

p. On 3 November 2023, Petitioner was issued official change duty orders (BUPERS order:
), while stationed in _______ with an effective date of departure of November 2023. Petitioner's ultimate activity was _______ for duty with an effective date of arrival of 30 November 2023 with a PRD of November 2025.

q. On 2 January 2024, Petitioner transferred from and arrived to on 2 January 2024 for duty.

r. On 12 April 2024, Petitioner's second dependent child was born.

s. On 18 October 2024, Petitioner's Benefits for Education Administrative Service Tool listed no dependents.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and especially in light of the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an error warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded that on 17 November 2021, Petitioner entered Zone B. On 24 August 2022, Petitioner was issued orders 2362 with required obligated service to November 2025. At that time, a Zone B SRB was authorized in accordance with reference (c). On 17 October 2022, Petitioner signed an agreement to extend enlistment for 11 months to meet the obligated service. The Board determined that Petitioner could have reenlisted upon entering Zone B in accordance with reference (b) and would have been eligible to reenlist for 4 years and receive a Zone B SRB on 14 February 2022. Furthermore, Petitioner would have been eligible to transfer his education benefits to his dependents.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's 11-month agreement to extend enlistment (NAVPERS 1070/621) executed on 17 October 2022 is null and void.

Petitioner was discharged 13 February 2022 and reenlisted on 14 February 2022 for a term of 4 years.

Note: This change will entitle Petitioner to a Zone "B" SRB with an award level of "8.5" (\$100,000 award ceiling) for the MMN(SS)/N16S, rating/NEC. Remaining obligated service to 18 December 2024 will be deducted from SRB computation. Additionally, Navy Personnel Command is authorized to align transfer of education benefits with the 14 February 2022

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO XXX-XX-

reenlistment. Furthermore, that any other entries affected by the Board's recommendation be corrected.

The Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR) will <u>not</u> take any action with the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) for the SRB payment. Petitioner's SRB payment will<u>not</u> be funded by the BCNR's Claims Line of Accounting (LOA). _______ at N133D has secured funding via unexecuted SRB funds and will use their LOA to pay the SRB payment, and will coordinate directly with the DFAS to determine Petitioner's incentive. Contact the _______, N133D, _______

concerning SRB payment.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

