



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

[REDACTED]  
Docket No. 5386-24  
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD [REDACTED], USN,  
XXX-XX [REDACTED]

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552  
(b) Title 38 U.S.C. Chp 33  
(c) BUPERSNOTE 1780

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments  
(2) Subject's Naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that her naval record be corrected to establish eligibility to transfer Post-9/11 GI Bill education benefits to her eligible dependent children effective 1 February 2011.

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 2 October 2024 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, found that, before applying to this Board, she exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board made the following findings:

a. The Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act (Post-9/11 GI Bill, Public Law 110-252) was signed into law on 30 June 2008 and became effective on 1 August 2009. The bill provides financial support for education and housing for service members with at least 90 days of service on or after 11 September 2001. The act also includes provision for qualifying service members to transfer education benefits to their eligible dependents. General descriptions of the essential components of the law were widely available beginning in summer 2008 but specific implementing guidance was not published until summer 2009.

b. In accordance with reference (c), the option to transfer a Service member's unused education benefits to an eligible dependent required a 4-year additional service obligation at the time of election. Additionally, enlisted personnel were required to have sufficient time on

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD [REDACTED], USN,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

contract to meet the additional service requirement prior to initiating their electronic transfer election. Furthermore, the policy directed members to periodically check the status of their application; a denied transfer of education benefits (TEB) application required members to take corrective action and reapply with a new service obligation end date.

- c. On 21 February 1995, Petitioner entered active duty.
- d. On 12 August 2002, Petitioner's child, [REDACTED] was born.
- e. On 28 March 2008, Petitioner reenlisted for 6 years.
- f. On 27 July 2010, Petitioner's second child, [REDACTED] was born.
- g. On 1 February 2011, Petitioner submitted TEB application with less than 4 years remaining on contract and requested to allocate education benefits to [REDACTED]/18 months and [REDACTED]/18 months. The Service rejected the application indicating "Disapprove-SM [Service Member] has not committed to the required additional service time."
- h. On 13 March 2012, Petitioner extended for 6 months and thereafter extended an additional 6 months on 13 August 2014.
- i. Petitioner transferred to the Fleet Reserve effective 1 March 2015.

## CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board finds the existence of an error warranting the following corrective action. Petitioner met the basic eligibility criteria to transfer Post-9/11 GI Bill education benefits but failed to complete the administrative requirements outline in reference (c). Although Petitioner did not complete the appropriate administrative requirements, the Board concluded that had she received adequate counseling, she would have been able to transfer unused education benefits to eligible dependent on 1 August 2009. Moreover, the Board determined Petitioner completed over 5 years of active duty service after the inception of the ability to TEB, thereby meeting the spirit and intent of reference (b). Therefore, the Board determined under these circumstances, partial relief is warranted.

## RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner elected to transfer unused education benefits to [REDACTED]/18 months through the MilConnect TEB portal on 1 August 2009. Note: Petitioner allocated education benefits to [REDACTED]/18 months after date of birth on 27 July 2010.

Commander, Navy Personnel Command (PERS-314) reviewed Petitioner's TEB application and it was approved on 1 August 2009 with a 4-year service obligation.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD [REDACTED] USN,  
XXX-XX [REDACTED]

The part of Petitioner's request for corrective action that exceeds the foregoing is denied. Petitioner did not have sufficient time on contract to garner TEB approval on 1 February 2011.

A copy of this report of proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

10/18/2024

