

Docket No. 6017-24 Ref: Signature Date

- From:Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval RecordsTo:Secretary of the Navy
- Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO FORMER MEMBER USNR, XXX-XX-
- Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552 (b) BUPERSINST 1900.2
- Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments (2) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to reflect advancement to Quartermaster Third Class (QM3)/E-4; and add to DD Form 214, Report of Separation from the Armed Forces of the United States ending 26 July 1955.

2. The Board, consisting of **Example**, **Example**, and **Example** reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 3 September 2024 and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, found that, before applying to this Board, he exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board made the following findings:

a. On 7 July 1952, Petitioner enlisted in the United States Navy and entered active duty. Petitioner received marks from the second of a state of the second of a state of the second of the second of the second of a state of the second of a state of the second of a state of the second of the seco

b. On 28 January 1954, NAVPER 601, Administrative Remarks indicates, Petitioner "Recommended for advancement and nominated for examination. Eligible in all respects for participation in service-wide competitive examinations for QM3." On 9 February 1954, Petitioner participated in the NWAE, however no examination marks are listed and no indication of passed, failed, or selected for advancement. On 20 December 1954, NAVPER 601, Administrative Remarks indicates, Petitioner "Recommended for advancement to AT3 [Aviation Electronics Technician Third Class/E-4]. Eligible in all respects and nominated for competitive service-wide examinations."

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO FORMER MEMBER USNR, XXX-XX-

c. On 26 July 1955, Petitioner was released from active duty and transferred to the Navy Reserve and thereafter discharged on 6 July 1960.

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board finds the existence of an error warranting the following corrective action. The Board concluded the 3.75 and 4.0 score annotated in Petitioner's record are for training courses completed. The Board could not find, nor did Petitioner provide sufficient evidence of passing and being selected for advancement to QM3/E-4. However, the Board determined it was the service member's choice to select the most significant duty in accordance with reference (b).¹ Therefore, the Board determined that under these circumstances, partial relief is warranted.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's DD Form 214, Report of Separation from the Armed Forces of the United States ending 26 July 1955, is amended to reflect Block 28 (Most Significant Duty Assignment) " " vice " " vice " " Note: Navy Personnel Command will issue a DD Form 215 or a new DD Form 214 whichever one they deem appropriate.

The part of Petitioner's request for corrective action that exceeds the foregoing is denied. The Board was unable to validate whether Petitioner passed and or was selected for advancement to QM3/E-4.

A copy of this report of proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above titled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.



¹ Outlined the instructions governing the processing and distribution of the DD Form 214, Report of Separation from the Armed Forces of the United States. Item 3 of the form required the abbreviation of the grade or rate in which separated and date of appointment thereto. Item 28 required the name of the ship, station, organization the individual considers having been the most significant duty to which assigned during the current period of active duty.