



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No. 6555-24
Ref: Signature Date

█
█
█

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 1 October 2024. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations, and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, as well as the 19 July 2024 advisory opinion (AO) provided by the Commander, Navy Personnel Command (NPC) (PERS-9). The AO was provided to you on 13 August 2024, and you were given 30 days in which to submit a response. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you did not do so.

The Board carefully considered your request to reinstate your commission on the Reserve Active Status List (RASL). You also request an opportunity to correct your service record for selection to lieutenant commander (LCDR/O-4). The Board considered your contention that neither you nor your unit received notification of your failure of selection (FOS) or separation from the Navy. You claim there were known deficiencies in your service record, unit leaders were gathering updates to correct your record. You request consideration of whether separation without notification or option to correct your record was justified. As evidence, you provided correspondence from the Commanding Officer, Navy Reserve Center (CO, NRC) █.

The Board, however, substantially concurred with the AO. In this regard, the Board noted that you were discharged after twice failing selection for promotion. The Board also noted that correspondence was sent to the addresses on record informing you and the CO, NRC of your discharge and second FOS. The correspondence from the CO, NRC confirmed that the address on file in Navy Standard Integrated Personnel System (NSIPS) was a legacy mailing address,

