



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No. 7270-24
Ref: Signature Date

█
█
█

Dear █

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 October 2024. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the Marine Corps and commenced a period of active duty on 3 September 1986. Between 5 February 1987 to 29 April 1987, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) on two occasions for dereliction of duty, leaving your appointed place of duty without authority, and four specifications of unauthorized absence (UA). During the aforementioned period you were counseled on three occasions for misconduct that included financial irresponsibility, and you were notified further deficiencies would result in administrative separation proceedings. Consequently, you were notified of the initiation of administrative separation proceedings by reason of misconduct due to minor disciplinary infractions. You waived your right to consult with counsel and a hearing of your case before an administrative discharge board. Your commanding officer recommended your separation from the Marine Corps with an Other Than Honorable (OTH) character of service and the separation authority concurred with your commanding officer's recommendation. You were so discharged on 17 June 1988.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to upgrade your characterization of service and contention you were discharge for financial mismanagement, you paid the debt off after your discharge, and you were told your discharge would be upgraded six months after your discharge. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJPs and counselings, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and found that your conduct showed a complete disregard for military authority and regulations. Further, the Board noted you were provided an opportunity to correct your conduct deficiencies but chose to continue to commit misconduct. Additionally, the Board also noted that there is no provision of federal law or in Marine Corps regulations that allows for a discharge to be automatically upgraded after a specified number of months or years. Finally, the Board noted you provided no evidence, other than you statement, to substantiate your contentions.

As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a service member and continues to warrant an OTH characterization. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

10/22/2024

