

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 9066-24 Ref: Signature Date

- From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
- To: Secretary of the Navy
- Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER USN, XXX-XX-
- Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. §1552
 - (b) USECDEF Memo of 25 July 2018 "Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations," of 25 July 2018
- Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments (2) Case summary

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that Petitioner's reentry code be changed to RE-1 on the DD Form 214. Enclosures (1) and (2) apply.

2. The Board, consisting of **Example**, **Example**, and **Example**, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 10 January 2025 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval service records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies to include reference (b).

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. Although enclosure (1) was not filed in a timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to review the application on its merits.

c. Petitioner enlisted in the U.S. Navy and began a period of active duty on 5 June 2013.

d. On 1 May 2015, Petitioner was diagnosed with an adjustment disorder with mixed disturbance of emotions and conduct. Based on the determination that his condition was not amenable to medical care, Petitioner was recommended for administrative separation. Petitioner

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER USN, XXX-XX-

was notified of administrative separation processing and eventually discharged with an Honorable characterization of service and assigned a RE-4 reentry code on 3 June 2015.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants partial relief. Specifically, the Board determined Petitioner's RE-4 reentry code was appropriately assigned at the time based on Petitioner's diagnosis and chronic threats of self-harm that made him unsuitable for further military service. However, the Board found that the Petitioner's post discharge accomplishments were sufficient to support a finding that Petitioner should be afforded the opportunity to be considered for further military service. Therefore, the Board determined it was in the interests of justice to change Petitioner's reentry code to a waivable code and allow the military department to assess his suitability for future military service¹.

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the above, the Board directs the following corrective action:

Petitioner be issued a new DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty, for the period ending 3 June 2015, changing Block 27 to reflect the reentry code "RE-3G."

No further changes be made to Petitioner's record.

A copy of this report of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.



¹ This finding should not be considered an endorsement of Petitioner's candidacy for military service. The Board merely is providing Petitioner an opportunity to be considered for military service without the obstacle of a reentry code that bars him from consideration.