



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No. 9593-24
Ref: Signature Date

█
█
█
█

Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 November 2024. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the Navy after receiving a waiver for a previous Delayed Entry Program discharge due to a positive drug test and commenced active duty on 14 November 2002. On 19 September 2003, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for unauthorized absence (UA) from your place of duty. Additionally, you were issued an administrative remarks (Page 13) counseling concerning deficiencies in your performance and/or conduct. You were advised that any further deficiencies in your performance and/or conduct may result in disciplinary action and in processing for administrative discharge. On 23 January 2004, you received NJP for failure to go to your appointed place of duty, violating the student out of bounds policy without approval, and making a false official statement to the Disciplinary Review Board. Consequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation processing with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) (GEN) characterization of service by reason of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct. You waived your rights to consult counsel or submit a statement for consideration

by the separation authority. The separation authority subsequently directed your discharge with a GEN characterization of service and you were so discharged on 6 February 2004.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to change your discharge characterization of service and your contentions that the charge of insubordination was incorrect and you were following orders from your medical provider. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded your potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJPs, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and the likely negative impact your repeated misconduct had on the good order and discipline of your command. The Board noted that you were an opportunity to address your conduct issues, but you continued to commit misconduct, which ultimately led to your discharge for a pattern of misconduct. The Board also noted that there were no insubordination charges in your record and you provided no evidence, other than your personal statement, to substantiate your contentions that you were somehow treated unfairly. Ultimately, the Board determined you were fortunate to receive a GEN characterization of service based on your record of misconduct during your relatively brief period of active duty.

As a result, the Board concluded that significant negative aspects of your service outweighed the positive aspects and continues to warrant a GEN characterization. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

11/27/2024

