

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 10208-24 Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 January 2025. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the U.S. Navy and began a period of active duty on 4 February 1992. On 25 April 1992, you were designate a primary code of 9760, Electric/Mechanical Equipment Repairman. On 3 February 1994, you were honorably discharge after completion of your required active service. Your DD Form 214 lists your primary specialty as "DG (AA) 9760 – Electric/Mechanical Equipment Repairman (1 YR 9 MOS)."

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to change your DD Form 214 to reflect the Aviation Boatswain's Mate (Aircraft Handling) or "ABH" rate and contentions that your primary specialty is listed incorrectly and is negatively affecting your ability to claim a disability benefit for tinnitus. You further contend that you worked your entire career on the flight deck as crash and salvage crewman. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board considered the evidence you provided in support of your application.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that you were correctly assigned the designated primary of an Electric/Mechanical Equipment Repairman based on your record. The Board found that there is no evidence in your record to show that you attained the rate of ABH. While the Board considered the certificate of training you provided, they determined it was insufficient to support a finding you were designated the ABH rating. In making this determination, the Board considered you were an Airman Apprentice at the time of your discharge and never took the advancement exam for ABH.

Therefore, even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

