

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 10957-24 Ref: Signature Date



Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 December 2024. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations, and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, as well as the 8 October 2024 decision furnished by the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB), 12 July 2024 advisory opinion (AO) provided to the PERB by the Manpower Management Division Records and Performance Branch. The AO was provided to you on 8 October 2024, and you were given 30 days in which to submit a response. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you did not do so.

Regarding your request for a personal appearance, the Board determined that a personal appearance with or without counsel will not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

The Board carefully considered your request to remove the fitness report for the reporting period 2 December 2020 to 14 May 2021. The Board considered your contention that the assessment is unfair and unjust after multiple request to sit down with reporting officials for initial counseling or guidance. You claim that you did not receive any counseling as required by the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation System (PES) Manual and no billet description, mid-term counseling or end of period counseling took place after several requests.

The Board, however, substantially concurred with the PERB's decision that you did not meet the burden of proof nor shown by preponderance of evidence a substantive inaccuracy or injustice warranting removal of your fitness report. The Board determined that your fitness report is valid as written and filed in accordance with the applicable PES Manual. In this regard, the Board noted that the PES Manual does not mandate counseling, as counseling can take many forms. The Board opined that your billet description and accomplishments appear well informed, the Section I comments are favorable and extend to an addendum page demonstrating the Reporting Senior's knowledge of your performance during the reporting period. The Board found no evidence that you were unaware of your billet responsibilities or the reporting official's expectations. The Board also determined that your dissatisfaction with the reports' relative value is not a basis for removal. The Board thus concluded there is no probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting corrective action. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.



Sincerely,