

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 10989-24 Ref: Signature Date

- From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
- To: Secretary of the Navy
- Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER
- Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. §1552 (b) USECDEF Memo of 25 Jul 18 (Wilkie Memo)
- Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments (2) Case summary

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting his characterization of service be upgraded.

2. The Board, consisting of **Constant 1**, **Constant 1**, **Constant 1**, **Constant 1**, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 12 February 2025 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of his naval service records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. Although enclosure (1) was not filed in a timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to review the application on its merits.

c. Petitioner enlisted in the U.S. Navy and began a period of active duty on 5 January 1979. After a period of continuous Honorable service that included two reenlistments, the Petitioner began his final period of active duty on 1 May 1992.

d. On 7 July 1995, Petitioner received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for wrongful use of marijuana.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER USN,

e. Petitioner was notified of administrative separation processing for drug abuse and elected an administrative discharge board (ADB). The ADB met and found Petitioner committed drug abuse. It then recommend he be discharged with an Other Than Honorable (OTH) characterization of service but also recommended the separation be suspended for 12 months. However, the Commanding Officer (CO) did not concur with the recommendation for suspension and forwarded his recommendation to the Separation Authority (SA) that Petitioner be discharged with an OTH. The SA accepted the CO's recommendation and Petitioner was so discharged on or about December 1995¹.

f. Petitioner contended that the ADB recommend he be placed on probation instead of separation. Contends he was offered a bribe by the CO if he could name other people and his conviction would be overturned. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, Petitioner did not provide documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants relief. The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in Petitioner's case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo.

While the Board does not condone Petitioner's misconduct, it concluded clemency is appropriate in his case. In making this finding, the Board noted Petitioner's two previous enlistments during which he served honorably. The Board also took into consideration the CO's positive comments regarding Petitioner's performance at the command. Therefore, after reviewing the record holistically, given the totality of the circumstances, and purely as a matter of clemency, the Board determined Petitioner's characterization of service should be changed to General (Under Honorable Conditions).

Notwithstanding the recommended corrective action below, the Board was not willing to grant an upgrade to an Honorable discharge. The Board determined that an Honorable discharge was appropriate only if the member's service was otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization of service would be clearly inappropriate. The Board concluded by opining that certain negative aspects of the Petitioner's conduct and/or performance outweighed the positive aspects of his military record and that a General (Under Honorable Conditions) discharge characterization and no higher was appropriate.

Further, the Board concluded that Petitioner's reason for separation, separation authority, separation code, and reentry code remain appropriate in light of Petitioner's record of misconduct. Ultimately, the Board determined any injustice in Petitioner's record is adequately addressed by the recommended corrective action.

¹ Petitioner's record did not contain a DD Form 214 but the Board was able to determine Petitioner's approximate discharge date from the SA's approval message.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF FORMER MEMBER USN,

RECOMMENDATION:

In view of the above, the Board directs the following corrective action:

Petitioner be issued a new DD Form 214, covering the entirety of his active duty service, stating that his characterization of service was "General (Under Honorable Conditions)," with a narrative reason for separation of "Misconduct (Drug Abuse)," under the authority of "MILPERSMAN 3630620," separation code of "GKK," and reentry code of "RE-4." Block 12 shall annotate Petitioner's continuous Honorable service.

No further changes be made to Petitioner's record.

A copy of this report of proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

